

SOCIOLOGY AND POLITOLOGY

UDC 32.001

MULTIVALENCY OF ADAPTIVE MODELS OF MODERNIZATION: THE EXPERIENCE OF RUSSIA

© 2014 г. V.V. Kovalev

*Kovalev Valeriy Valeryevich –
Post-Graduate,
Department of Theoretical
and Applied Political Science,
Faculty of Sociology and Political Science,
Southern Federal University,
M. Nagibina Ave., 13, Rostov-on-Don, 344038.
E-mail: mr.val.kovalev@yandex.ru.*

The article analyzes Russian experience of building an adaptive model of modernization in political discourse. The investigation allows to state that the processes of modernization development are unique to each individual state, so should be made in accordance with national specific. Our analysis suggests that at the moment the development of an adaptive model of modernization in Russia is made partially because modern Russian modernization doesn't have a clear strategy of state development. This problem has a multidimensional character.

Keywords: *modern, endogenous modernization, adaptive modernization, industrialization, post-industrialization, etatism, political favoritism.*

1. Korotets I.D. Teoriya politologii. Rostov n/D, 2005. 256 s.
2. Abrosimov D.V. Sistemno-tsivizatsionnaya paradigma analiza globalnykh protsessov // Metodologiya, teoriya i istoriya sotsiologii: materialy Vseros. nauch. konf. Rostov-na-Donu, 23 – 24 noyabrya 2012 g. Rostov n/D, 2012.
3. Gavrov S.N. Modernizatsiya Rossii: postim-perskiy tranzit. M., 2009. 274 s.
4. Khantington S.P. Politicheskiy poryadok v menyayushchikhsya obshchestvakh. M., 2004. 603 s.
5. Domanov V.G. Sovremennoye grazhdanskoye obshchestvo v kontekste strukturno-funktsionalnogo diskursa. Rostov n/D, 2010. 220 s.
6. Inglehart R., Welzel C. Modernization, Cultural Change and Democracy. The Human Development Sequence. Cambridge, 2005. 548 p.
7. Makarenko V.P. Russkaya vlast: teoretiko-sotsiologicheskiye problemy. Rostov n/D, 1998. 445 s.
8. Konovalov V.N. Politologiya. Slovar. Ros-tov n/D, 2001. 285 s.
9. Korolev V.K. Allyuzii modernizatsii i modernizatsiya krizisa // Problemy modernizatsii Rossii: materialy nauch.-prakt. sessii Rostovskogo mezhhregion. in-ta obshchestv. nauk YuFU. Rostov n/D, 2011.
10. Morozova Ye.A. Demograficheskiy resurs v sisteme resursov politicheskoy vlasti v sovremennoy Rossii // Aktualnyye problemy sovremennoy politicheskoy nauki: materialy nauch. sessii YuFU. Rostov n/D, 2010.
11. Shpak A.V. Kontury sistemno-setevoy organizatsii postindustrialnogo obshchestva // Tam zhe.
12. Kovalenko V.I. Politicheskiye otnosheniya i politicheskiy protsess v sovremennoy Rossii. M., 2010. 384 s.
13. Poslaniye Prezidenta Rossiyskoy Federatsii Federalnomu Sobraniyu Rossiyskoy Federatsii // Rossiyskaya gazeta: Internet-portal. 2012. URL: <http://www.rg.ru/2012/12/12/stenogramma-poln.html> (data obrashcheniya: 20.11.2013).

UDC 101.1:316

ON THE PROBLEM OF NATURE AND ESSENCE OF SOCIAL DISEASES

© 2014 г. Ye.D. Merkulov

*Merkulov Yegor Demyanovich –
Post-Graduate,
Department of Philosophy,
Lipetsk State Technical University,
Moskovskaya St., 30, Lipetsk, 398600.
E-mail: egormer@mail.ru.*

The article raises the question of the essence and nature of social diseases. Specific examples of social diseases with their negative consequences for the whole society are given. The author examines the social and spiritual conditions that contribute to the emergence of these diseases. The author comes to the conclusion that the main objective at this stage is to identify the reasons partially disclosed in the article, the main forms of social diseases and adequate methods of «healing» social diseases of society in general.

Keywords: *social diseases, contemporary world, religious tours, moral crisis, social conditions, new technologies.*

1. Ryvkina R.V. Sotsialnyye bolezni rossiy-skogo obshchestva kak obyekt sotsiologicheskogo izucheniya // *Obshchestvennyye nauki i sovremennost.* 2009. № 3.
2. Toffler E. *Shok budushchego:* per. s angl. M., 2002. 557 c.
3. Itogovaya deklaratsiya konferentsii «Totalitarnyye sekty i demokraticeskoye gosudarstvo» (Novosibirsk, 9 – 11 noyabrya 2004 g.) // *Tulskiy informatsionno-konsultativnyy tsentr po voprosam sektantstva.* URL: <http://www.sektainfo.ru/skolko%20all.htm> (data obrashcheniya: 26.12.2013).
4. Sankt-Peterburgskaya psikiatricheskaya bolnitsa sv. Nikolaya Chudotvortska. K 140-letiyu. T. III. V.Kh. Kandinskiy // *Rossiyskoye obshchestvo psikiatrov.* URL: <http://psichiater.ru/news/70> (data obrashcheniya: 05.11.2012).
5. Vasilyeva O.S., Filatov F.R. *Psikhologiya zdorovya cheloveka: etalony, predstavleniya, ustanovki: ucheb. posobiye dlya vuzov.* M., 2001. 352 c.
6. Vinokurova I. *Syurprizy iz yashchika Pandory* // *Obrazovatelnyy portal «SLOVO».* URL: <http://www.portal-slovo.ru/impressionism/46266.php> (data obrashcheniya: 29.06.2013).
7. Berdyayev N. *Dukhovnoye sostoyaniye sovremennogo mira* // *Biblioteka Yakova Krotova.* URL: http://krotov.info/library/02_b/berdyayev/1932_377.htm (data obrashcheniya: 21.03.2013).

UDC 32

FUNCTIONAL AND TRANSFORMED FORMS OF LEGITIMACY IN MODERN POLITICAL STRUCTURES

© 2014 г. A.A. Popova

*Popova Aksana Aleksandrovna –
Competitor, Department of Applied
and Theoretical Political Sciences,
Southern Federal University,
M. Nagibina Ave., 13, Rostov-on-Don, 344038.
E-mail: b1410197810101976b@yandex.ru.*

None of the attempts to build the democracy were successful in Russia. First of all, the failures are determined by people unpreparedness to positive initiative realized work in the political process. These are human resources which should become an active force of the converting processes. The property and liberty are the resources approved by the rules of the law and provided to a citizen of the democratic society. In that context, a man is no longer a subject and political decision executive but he is a member and an actor of the political process, provided with responsibility and orientated on constant self-development in his subgroup.

Keywords: *from, purpose, needs, rules, industrial society, democracy.*

1. Mersyanova I.V. Vlast i obshchestvennost: innovatsionnyye formy vzaimodeystviya. Novosi-birsk, 2005.
 2. Klok K., Goldsmit Dzh. Konets menedzhmenta i stanovleniye organizatsionnoy demokrati. SPb., 2004. 368 s.
 3. Khabermas Yu. Otnosheniya mezhdou sistemoy i zhiznennym mirom v usloviyakh pozdnego kapitalizma. Teoriya i istoriya ekonomicheskikh i sotsialnykh institutov i sistem. Struktury i instituty // THESIS. 1993. T. 1. Vyp. 2.
 4. Sotsiologiya innovatiki: sotsialnyye mekhanizmy formirovaniya innovatsionnoy sredy: materialy konferentsii. M., 2008.
 5. Yevdokimov V.A. Interaktivnost kak kachestvo publichnoy politiki // Polis. 2011. № 5.
 6. Lipset S.M., Ken-Ryun Sen, Torres D.Ch. Sravnitelnyy analiz sotsialnykh usloviy, neobkhodimykh dlya stanovleniya demokrati // Mezhdunarodnyy zhurnal sotsialnykh nauk. 1993. № 3.
-